

(1998) KLR VOL 3 PART 60 pp. 551 -680

MARCH 1998 CONTD.

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

5. Olowolagba v. Bakare p. 551
6. Kele v. Nwelekere p. 565
7. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Ujene v. The Attorney General Bendel State p. 653
2. Akure v. The State p. 661
3. Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 667

APPEALS - Retrial - Issue that was given full treatment - And what was decided cannot be said to amount to a substantial miscarriage of justice - No retrial is necessary. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

BANKING - Loan - Where parties joined issues as to the principal sum owed - But defendant led evidence to prove same which was admitted by the plaintiff - Court was right to find for the defendant. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

COMPANY LAW - Directors - Discretionary power - Which falls within the management power in section 63 (3) Companies and Allied Matters Act, 1990 - Must be exercised with the interest of the company as paramount. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Right to fair hearing - Where the appellants' claim was thoroughly considered and rightly dismissed - The contention that there had been a breach of right to fair hearing - Is unfounded and misconceived. Olowolagba v. Bakare p. 551

CONTRACTS - Terms of a contract - Where by it the defendant had no contractual obligation - It cannot be penalized. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

COURTS - Pronouncement - Made by the court on its own - On an issue which could not have arisen in so far as the matter was concerned - Was erroneous - But miscarriage of justice was not occasioned. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

DAMAGES - Remoteness - Where alleged loss was caused by the failure of the plaintiff - To meet its contractual obligation - Award against

defendant - Will be set aside as being too remote. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

DAMAGES - Special damages - Award on anticipated profit based on speculation - Cannot be allowed to stand. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

DAMAGES - Double compensation - General damages awarded to the plaintiff who had been adequately compensated - Constitutes double compensation. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Oral evidence - Of the fact that there was a settlement out of court - Leading to the withdrawal of an earlier action - Which was not challenged - Was properly acted upon. Kele v. Nwelekere p. 565

EVIDENCE - Document - Where the basis of its projections - Was not explained by credible evidence - There is no obligation to cross-examine on it - As it is self defeating and unacceptable. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

JUDGMENTS - Dismissal - Of the appellants' claims for damages for trespass and injunction - Was justifiably affirmed - Where the appellants on their evidence failed to establish their ownership and/or possession of the land in dispute. Olowolagba v. Bakare p. 551

JUDGMENTS - Reversal - Setting aside the decision of the trial court - Which was not the result of a proper appraisal and evaluation of the evidence - Was correct. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

JUDGMENTS - Injunction - To support a legal right - Was properly granted. Kele v. Nwelekere p. 565

LAND LAW - Trespass - Tenancy - Which terminates at the end of each farming season - The appellants became trespassers thereafter - When they were on the land without the consent of the respondents. *Kele v. Nwelekere* p. 565

LAND LAW - Trespass - Where the respondents were rightly adjudged to have a better title - And to be in exclusive possession of the land in dispute - The only option open to the court is to dismiss appellants' claims in trespass. *Olowolagba v. Bakare* p. 551

LANDLAW - Declaration of title - Where the claim fails - It does not mean that a claim in damages for trespass and injunction on the same land - Must also fail. *Olowolagba v. Bakare* p. 551

MORTGAGES - Proviso - Requiring prior consent for any further mortgage or charge - Confers a discretionary power on the lender. *Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry* p. 581

MORTGAGES - Discretion - Conferred by a proviso - The essence of it will be defeated if the option to pick and choose is absent. *Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry* p. 581

MORTGAGES - Exemption clause - Interpretation - Provision bereft of any ambiguity - The duty of the court is to interpret it - In enforceable terms without more. *Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry* p. 581

PLEADINGS - Joining of issue - Reply - Ought to controvert fact in the statement of defence - For the issues raised thereon to be joined. *Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry* p. 581

RULES OF COURT - Court of Appeal Rules 1981 - Order 3 rule 23 - Court of Appeal is empowered to give any judgment or make any order that ought to be made - Although such decision was not appealed against. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

WORDS AND PHRASES - “Include” - How properly construed in an enactment. Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

APPEALS - Evidence that should have been excluded - Appellate court must consider what reliance was placed on that evidence - And whether the trial judge would have come to the same conclusion - If that evidence had not been erroneously put in. Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 667 CA

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Specific nature of Contempt - In face of the court - Where the appellant was not informed of the specific nature of the contempt - For which he was ultimately punished - His constitutional right had been infringed. Ujene v. The Attorney General Bendel State p. 653 CA

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Abuse of process - Where the appellant’s conduct did not come within any of the categories of contempt - But would at worst amount to an abuse of the process of court - The course open to the court was to strike out the case - And not to commit him for contempt of court. Ujene v. The Attorney General Bendel State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Conversion - Dishonoured cheque - Where the appellant who issued a first cheque that was dishonoured - Issued a second cheque to the same person that was also dishonoured - And the money was

not refunded despite repeated demands - The evidence shows nothing short of fraudulent conversion. *Akure v. The State* p. 661 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Dishonoured cheque - Appellant was not expected to pay cash - If his cheque would be accepted - But if he was to pay by cheque he should be careful that he had sufficient fund - To meet his liability. *Akure v. The State* p. 661 CA

CRIMINAL LAW - Dishonoured cheque - Issuing of cheque when the bank account could not be operated - The logical conclusion in the absence of explanation - Is that the appellant knew he had no right to draw on his account - At the time he drew the cheque. *Akure v. The State* p. 661 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Counterfeit currency - Burden of proof - In an offence under section 5 (2) of the Counterfeit Currency (Special Provisions) Decree 1974 - Shifts where the appellant had ten or more counterfeit notes - And the burden was not discharged in the present case. *Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 667 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial - Confessional statement - Objected to as not voluntary - The correct procedure is for the trial judge to hear evidence - To prove the voluntariness or otherwise of the confession. *Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 667 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Sentence - Where the court did not record a conviction - The Sentence will not be allowed to stand. *Ujene v. The Attorney General Bendel State* p. 653 CA

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Of confessional statement - Where the appellant was not represented by counsel - A trial judge has a duty to consider the issue of admissibility - But where represented by counsel - Regularity should be presumed - Unless objection is raised by counsel. *Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria* p. 667 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

ix

Act No. 22 of 1973 (now cap. 296 Laws of the Federation 1990) Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

Companies and Allied Matters Act, Cap. 51 Laws of the Federation 1990, SS. 63 (3); 279 (3) Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

Companies Decree No 51 of 1968 Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 s.33(1) Olowolagba v. Bakare p. 551

Court of Appeal Act, s. 16 Olowolagba v. Bakare p. 551

Court of Appeal Rules 1981 as amended, Order 3 r. 23 Artra Industries Nig. Ltd v. The Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry p. 581

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

Counterfeit currency (Special Provisions) Decree, 1974 s 5 (1)(a) and (b) Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 667 CA

Criminal Procedure Act, Section 165 Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 667 CA

Evidence Act, section 226 Ekpo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 667 CA